



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILE	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/540,502	/540,502 03/31/2000		Thomas G. Brewer	8374	4129
26890	7590	07/21/2003			
JAMES M. S			EXAMINER		
	PATTERS	SON BLVD, WHO	NGUYEN, PHUOC H		
DAYTON, OH 45479				ART UNIT ·	PAPER NUMBER
				2143	9
				DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					pper
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	ı ·
v		09/540,502		BREWER ET AL.	
(	Offic Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Phuoc H. N		2143	Idross
Period for R					juress
THE MAI - Extension after SIX ( - If the perioder of the perio	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Soft ime may be available under the provisions of 37 CI (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properly within the set or extended period for reply will, by the creceived by the Office later than three months after the tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even in. a reply within the statut eriod will apply and will statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tir ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o	ty. communication.
	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	13 May 2003.			
-	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is r	non-final.		
3)∐ S	ince this application is in condition for a	llowance except	for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the	he merits is
cl <b>Disposition</b>	osed in accordance with the practice u of Claims	nder <i>Ex paπe Qu</i>	layle, 1935 C.D. 11,	455 O.G. 215.	
-	aim(s) $1-20$ is/are pending in the applic				
	Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from con	sideration.		
· —	aim(s) is/are allowed.				
•	aim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.				
•	aim(s) is/are objected to.				
	aim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	quirement.		
Application		minor			
	e specification is objected to by the Exa		objected to by the Ex	aminer	
10)∐ Ine	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s)	he held in abevance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	
/ 44\□ Th	e proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ ar	poroved b) disappr	oved by the Exami	ner.
	f approved, corrected drawings are required			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	e oath or declaration is objected to by the				
i	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
_	cknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreian priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
•	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			.,,,	
	☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have bee	n received.		
1	Certified copies of the priority docu			tion No	
	Copies of the certified copies of the				al Stage
* See	application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT a list of the certi	Rule 17.2(a)). fied copies not receiv	ved.	
14)∐ Ack	knowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provision	al application).
a) [ 15)	☐ The translation of the foreign langual the translation of the foreign langual the translation of the foreign language.	ge provisional ap omestic priority u	plication has been render 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	eceived. 20 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s					
1) Notice of	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	48) No(s)		ary (PTO-413) Paper N Al Patent Application (F	
U.S. Patent and Trade	emark Office	fice Action Summa		Part of Paper No. 9	)

Art Unit: 2143

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the applicants Amendment filed on May 13, 2003, (Paper No. 7). Claims 1,6,11,16, and 18 have been amended. Claims 1-20 are presented for further consideration and examination

## Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argued that Horowitz et al. U.S. Patent 6,349,290 does not teach concurrent customer interactions. This is not found persuasive. Horowitz teaches identifying a customer associated with the interactions, wherein the interactions are occurring concurrently (Figure 7; col. 4, 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph; col. 5, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph; col. 10, lines 66 through col. 11, lines 13; and col. 12, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph; col. 5, lines 21-38; col. 11, lines 14-26; col. 15, lines 9-41). For further explain, Horowitz's system is capable of taking initiative and initiating contact with the customer during a session that the customer initiates.

Applicants still have failed to clearly disclose the novelty of the invention and identify specific limitation, which would define patentable distinction over prior art.

Claims 2-5,7-10,12-15,17, and 19-20, is rejected at least by virtual of their dependency on independent and by other reasons set forth in the previous office action [see Paper No. 6]

According, rejections for claims 1-20 are presented as below.

Art Unit: 2143

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Horowitz et al. U.S. Patent 6,349,290.
- Referring to claims 1, 6, and 11, Horowitz reference disclose a plurality of devices interfaced to said customer (Figure 6), identifying a first interaction on a first channel and a second interaction on a second channel (Figures 3,5, and 6; col. 11, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph); identifying a customer associated with the interactions, wherein the interactions are occurring concurrently (Figure 7; col. 4, 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph; col. 5, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph; col. 10, lines 66 through col. 11, lines 13; and col. 12, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph; col. 5, lines 21-38; col. 11, lines 14-26; col. 15, lines 9-41); recording a dialogue corresponding to the first and second interactions (col. 18, lines 58 through col. 19, lines 14; and col. 22, last paragraph); and using the dialogue to modify at least one of the interactions (col. 11, lines 27-35; and col. 22, last paragraph).
- 6. Referring to claims 2, 7, and 12, Horowitz reference disclose using the dialogue to modify at least one of the channels (col. 17, lines 31 through col. 18, lines 7).
- 7. Referring to claims 3,9, and 15, Horowitz reference disclose identifying a first subject matter associated with the first interaction and a second subject matter associated with the second

Art Unit: 2143

interaction (col. 7, lines 63 through col. 8, lines 9); connecting a first service provider to the first interaction based upon the first subject matter and the first channel, and connecting a second service provider to the second interaction based upon the second subject matter and the second channel (col. 8, lines 1-9); and alerting the service providers to take a plurality of actions with the customer based upon the interactions, the channels, the subject matters, the business policies and the customer profiles. (Figure 12; col. 18, last paragraph through col. 19, 1st paragraph; col. 26, lines 34 through col. 27, lines 33).

- Referring to claims 4,9,14, and 19, Horowitz reference disclose enforcing a plurality of 8. business policies for each of the channels and each of the interactions (Figures 10, and 33, col. 31, lines 8-26; and col. 41, lines 50-61); and enforcing a plurality of customer profiles for each of the channels and each of the interactions (Abstract; figure 9; col. 13, lines 45-47; and col. 28, 4th paragraph).
- Referring to claim 5, Horowitz reference disclose alerting the first service provider to 9. take a plurality of actions with the customer based upon the first interaction, the first channel, the first subject matter, the business policies, and the customer profiles (Figure 12; col. 18, last paragraph through col. 19, 1st paragraph; col. 26, lines 34 through col. 27, lines 33).
- Referring to claims 8, and 13, Horowitz reference disclose means for storing a customer 10. profile associated with each of the interactions (Figure 9, col. 13, lines 45-57); and means for retrieving the customer profile associated with each of the interactions (col. 4, lines 42-58; and col. 31, 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph).
- Referring to claim 16, Horowitz reference disclose providing a customer profile database 11. (Figure 13; and col. 32, lines 25-36); storing in the customer profile database a plurality of

Art Unit: 2143

concurrent dialogues occurring with a customer (Figure 9; col. 13, lines 45-57; col. 5, lines 21-38; col. 11, lines 14-26; col. 15, lines 9-41); initiating a service provider (Figure 5); and establishing an interaction initiated by the service provider with a customer extracted from the customer profile database wherein the interaction occurs over a channel (Figures 9, and 10; and col. 13, lines 45 through col. 14, lines 65).

- Referring to claim 17, Horowitz reference disclose extracting a customer profile from the customer profile database (Figures 9, and 10); enforcing a plurality of business policies for the interaction and the channel (Figures 10, and 33; col. 31, lines 8-26; and col. 41, lines 50-61); and enforcing the customer profile for the interaction and the channel (Abstract; figure 9; col. 13, lines 45-47; and col. 28, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph).
- 13. Referring to claim 18 is rejected under the same rational set forth above to combination of claims 1, and 3.
- 14. Referring to claim 20 is rejected under the same rational set forth above to claim 5. In addition further disclose alerting the second service provider to take a plurality of actions with the customer based upon the second interaction, the second channel, the second subject matter, the business policies, and the customer profiles, which is also taught by Horowitz (col. 17, lines 31-46).
- 15. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2143

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ikudome (U.S. Patent 5,845,070)

Iyengar (U.S. Patent 5,961,601)

Ingrassia, Jr. et al (U.S. Patent 6,035,332)

Dias et al. (U.S. Patent 6,170,017)

Hunt et al. (U.S. Patent 6,223,215)

Walter et al. (U.S. Patent 6,334,110)

Cockrill et al. (U.S. Patent 6,473,740)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuoc H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-5315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon -Thu (7AM-4:30PM) and off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on 703-308-5221. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2143

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Phuoc H. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2143

\*\*\*

July 16, 2003

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 23134